

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/083,060 02/26/2002		02/26/2002	Kyle Spring	IR-1821 (2-2833) 4378			
2352	7590	07/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ER GERB & SOF	RAO, SHR	rao, shrinivas h			
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•				2814		

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/083	10/083,060		SPRING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steven	H. Rao	2814	l m				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	the cover sheet with th	c rrespondence ad	ldress				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN resions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ti tatutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 19 May 2000. Applicant may not request that any objected from the oath or declaration is objected to	4 is/are: a) ☐ accepection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen			A) [] Jahan dan Guran	· (DTO 442)					
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of paper submitted under 35 U.S. C. 35 U.S.C. 120 claiming priority from U. .S. Serial No. 10/083060 filed on February 26, 2002 which itself claims priority from provisional Application No. 60/271550 filed on February 26, 2001 which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Request for Continued Examination Application (RCE)

The request filed on 03/15/2004 for a Request for Continued Examination

Application (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/083060 is

acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Information Disclosure Statement

No lds has been filed to date in the present application.

Preliminary Amendment Status

Acknowledgment is made of entry of preliminary amendment filed on March /15 /2004 has been entered on March 25, 2004 and the Supplemental Amendment faxed on May 19, 2004 and entry authorized by fax on May 28, 2004 has been entered on May 28, 2004.

Art Unit: 2814

Therefore claims 11 to18 as recited in the supplemental amendment are currently pending in the application.

Claims 1-10 have been cancelled by the amendment.

Specification

Drawings

The drawings replacement sheet 1 were received on May 19, 2004.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the These drawings are objected to because these amended drawings do not show the presently claimed channel region (described in the specification as reference numeral 25) and also the source contact (claim 18) (described in the specification as Aluminum source contact 32 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief

description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11 to 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 11 recites in relevant parts "an epitaxially grown silicon layer, the specification as originally filed only describes an epi layer without specifying it as a silicon layer.

Further claim 11 also recites " a silicon substrate", the specification as originally

Page 5

filed only describes a substrate without specifying it as a silicon substrate.

Claims 12-18 are rejected at least for depending upon a rejected claim 11.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 18 is objected to for the following reasons:

It is believed that Applicants' recitation herein "of an oxide interlayer " is shown in figure as element 27 and may be recited as "over said gate structure " instead of " over said active area" to clearly distinguish it from elements 14 and 15 over the active area.

Similarly in line 3 the last three words herein " over said active area" can be replaced

by " over said oxide interlayer and a heavy base region".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-12 and 14 to 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Hshieh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,907,776, herein after Hshieh).

With respect to claim11 Hshieh describes a process for manufacturing a planar power semiconductor device comprising: providing a semiconductor die including an epitaxially grown silicon layer of a first conductivity formed over a silicon substrate(Hshieh fig. 3, #52 col. 4 lines 40-47) designating an active-area, (Hsieh fig. 3 #50, col. 4 lines 54-55) said active area being a portion of said epitaxially grown silicon layer in which channel regions are formed (Hsieh fig. 3 # 80) implanting dopants of a second conductivity in all of said active area of said epitaxially grown silicon layer; (Hsieh col. 6 lines 55-61) forming a plurality of spaced channel regions of said second conductivity in said active area of said epitaixally grown silicon layer each channel region being spaced from another channel region by a first conductivity region in said epitaxially grown silicon layer; (Hsieh figure 3 # 62) forming a source region of said first conductivity in each of said channel regions, each source region being less wide and less deep than a channel region in which it is formed; (Hsieh figure 3 # 48, less wide/deep than 80) and forming a lateral gate structure over each lateral channel; (Hsieh –figure 3 ,Mosfet cell and col.8 lines 60-68) wherein said first region of said epitaxially grown silicon is selected to cover an entire active region of said device. (Hsieh figure 3).

With respect to claim 12 Hsieh describes a process according to claim 11, further comprising forming a field oxide termination structure at the edge of said active region prior to said implanting step.(Hsieh fig. 7 L # 56)

Application/Control Number: 10/083,060 Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 14 Hsieh describes a process according to claim 11, wherein said gate structure comprises a gate oxide, said gate oxide being formed after said implanting step. (Hsieh fig. 7 N).

With respect to claim 15 Hsieh describes a process according to claim 12, wherein said field oxide is formed over said epitaxially grown silicon and etched to provide a window over said first region, wherein said dopants of said second conductivity are implanted through said window.(Hsieh figs. 7I and 7 L)

With respect to claim 16 Hsieh describes a process according to claim 11, wherein said dopants of said second conductivity are comprised of boron. (Hsieh figure 7 I-B)

With respect to claim 17 Hsieh describes a process according to claim 11, wherein said dopants of said second conductivity type are comprised of either arsenic or phosphorous. (Hsieh figure 7 L-P).

With respect to claim 18. Hsieh describes a process according to claim 11, further comprising, forming an oxide interlayer over said active region; opening windows over at least said source regions; and forming a source contact over said active region. (Hsieh figure 7N and M # 58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/083,060

Art Unit: 2814

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hshieh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,907,776, herein after Hshieh).

With respect to claim 13 Hsieh describes a process according to claim 11, further comprising forming a field oxide termination structure at the edge of said active region after said implanting step..(Hsieh fig. 7 L # 56). It is noted that selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results. In re Burhaus, 154 F.2d. 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA1946), see also Ex parte Rubin, 126 USPQ 440 (BAPi1959).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H. Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1718. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 to 5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,060

Art Unit: 2814

Page 9

Steven H. Rao

Patent Examiner

June 18, 2004.

LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER